

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/634,780	08/06/2003	Aurelie Chaix	P24002	7632	
7055	7590 09/14/2005		EXAMINER		
GREENBLUM & BERNSTEIN, P.L.C.			HALE, GLORIA M		
1950 ROLAND CLARKE PLACE RESTON, VA 20191			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3765		
·			DATE MAILED 00/14/200	DATE MAIL ED. 00/14/2005	

DATE MAILED: 09/14/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

0

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
055' 4 (' 0	10/634,780	CHAIX ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Gloria Hale	3765				
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the period for reply will, by state that the mail that the period for the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the part of the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than three months after the provided by the Office later than three months after the mail that the provided by the Office later than the provided by the Office later than the provided by the Office later than the	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. Mely filed In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>5-2</u>	26-05(Amendment).					
<u> </u>	nis action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3,5-10,12-17 and 19-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,5,6,8-10,12-17 and 19-30</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	٠.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<u> </u>	an priority under 35 H C C S 440/a) (4) 24 (5)				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
·						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri	• •					
application from the International Bure						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	ed.				
	·					
		•				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other:						

DETAILED ACTION

The finality of that action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 1-3, 5-10, 12-17 and 19-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. In regard to the "hydrophilic treatment" it is not clear as to what the treatment encompasses. It is not clear as to whether the treatment is applied to the yarn surfaces, if the yarn is entirely comprised of a hydrophilic material or as to whether the surface of the material itself, whether inner or outer surface, is treated. Also, the product-by-process limitation does not clearly describe what the exact structural limitations of the invention in that the process can be performed by a combination of a hydrophilic/hydrophobic material or by a hydrophobic material itself like (PTFE)polytetrafluroethlyene. Paragraph 26 of the specification states that the material that performs the function of transferring moisture is generally a "hydrophilic treatment" and can also be performed by a hydrophilic material such as PTFE. No examples of the claimed materials have been described in the specification therefore it is not clear as to exactly what materials have been disclosed and claimed. It is also not clear as to what the meted and bounds of the "antibacterial treatment" encompasses. Is it limited to just the example described of "silver

threads" or does it include other antibacterial treatments. The process limitation of "antibacterial treatment" does not clearly describe the "structure of the invention".

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-3, 5-10,12-17 and 19-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The claims are indefinite for the same reasons as discussed above in regard to the same claims.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3, 5,6,8-10,12-17 and 19-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by King et al (US 6,018,819).

King et al discloses a garment with moisture transmission panels (124a and b-col. 8, lines 6) formed by nylon or polyester with a moisture transmission membrane 66a of PTFE(polytetrafluroethylene) with nodes 82a and fibrils 84c to wick moisture. The nylon or polyester outer layers provides abrasion resistance as claimed and is extensible as claimed. The auxiliary zone is extensible as broadly claimed in that the material stretches and the mesh liner

also is the highly aerated area as claimed and provides airflow there through in addition to air flow through the air permeable membrane (66a). (See col. 10, line 6 and col. 9, lines 4-9; col. 8, line 6 – col. 9, line 29). The layer 66a itself is antibacterial as broadly claimed in that it resists the trapping of moisture and allows moisture transmission and air permeability. The arm sections of the liner are aerated as broadly claimed in addition to the outer material layer itself.

Claim 7 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. None of the cited references, alone or in combination, disclose the antibacterial silver thread treatment in the underarm auxiliary area itself.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3765

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gloria Hale whose telephone number is 571-272-4984. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues.-Friday.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Gloria Hale Primary Examiner Art Unit 3765
